RECTION ENT 2 - EEOC Complaint Form

United States District Court

FILED

YAM	1	7	2005
CLERK, U.S	. D	GT.	FICT COURT
WESTERNAL BY	יכת		DUTY CLERK

for the Western District of Texas Austin Division

MAY 2 5 2005

R. JAMES NICHOLSON

Secretary of V.A

(Name of plaintiff or plaintiffs)

A05CA 360SS

Civil Action Number:

"Alberta Dukes

(Case Number to be supplied by the Intake Clerk)

1601 Montopolis Dr. #517

Austin, Texas

(Name of defendant or defendants)

COMPLAINT

This action is brought by Alberta Dukes, Plaintiff, pursuant to the 1. following selected jurisdiction:

(Please select the applicable jurisdiction)

- $[\mathbf{V}]$ Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (42 USC §§ 2000e et seq.) Employment Discrimination on the basis of race, color, sex (gender, pregnancy and sexual harassment), religion or national origin.
- The Age Discrimination in Employment Act (29 USC §§ 621 et seq.) (ADEA).
- The Americans With Disabilities Act (42 USC §§ 12102 et seq.) (ADA). IV
- The Equal Pay Act (29 USC § 206(d)) (EPA).
- The Rehabilitation Act of 1973 (29 USC §791 et seq.) (Applicable to federal employees only).

2.	Defendant		(Defendant's	name) lives at, or its busine	SS
	is located at			(street address), (zip).	
	(c	eity),	(state),	(zip).	
3a.	Plaintiff sought e defendant at <u>290</u> <u>Texas</u> (city),	mployment 1 Ol Mondo (st	from the defenda pol:5DR ate), 7874/	nt or was employed by the(street address), _Australe_(zip).	he •
3b.		f defendant	is a union, at al	Defendant employed l relevant times of claim	
4.	8 of this complain (year). If incident indicate the begins	t on or about its of discrin	t Tune (n nination occurred ing dates of such a	nanner indicated in paragraphonth) (day) <u>200</u> nonth) (day) <u>200</u> nore than one day, plea acts: June 1, 200	<u>L</u> se
5.	Plaintiff filed cha Opportunity Com discrimination ind	arges against nmission (E.I licated in par th) <u>2</u> 0 (0	the defendant v E.O.C.) charging agraph 7 of this c	vith the Equal Employme defendant with the acts omplaint on or about r). (Not applicable to feder	of
6a.		nth) <u>23</u>	_(day) <u>2005</u>	h was received by plaintiff of the control of the c	
VERY IMP	ORTANT NOTE:	RIGHT T		PY OF YOUR NOTICE OF ENVELOPE IN WHICH IS COMPLAINT.	
6b.	Please indicate bel	ow if the E.E	.O.C issued a Det	ermination in your case:	
	[V] Yes [] No				
VERY IMP	ORTANT NOTE:	COPY O		ES", PLEASE ATTACH 'S DETERMINATION T	

7. Because	of plaintiff's	5:
------------	----------------	----

		(Please select the applicable allegation(s))
[]	Race	(If applicable, state race) <u> </u>
[]	Color	(If applicable, state color)
[]		gender, pregnancy or sexual harassment) (If applicable, state sex and E
[]	Religi	on (If applicable, state religion) Baptist
[]	Natio	nal Origin (If applicable, state national origin)
[]	Age (l	If applicable, state date of birth) 05/20/50 55
[]	Disab	ility (If applicable, state disability) <u>Back, Corprol tunnel</u> , Stress
[]		complaint of discrimination or opposition to acts of discrimination. liation) (If applicable, explain events of retaliation) Pepelsal
	The de	efendant: (please select all that apply)
	[]	failed to employ plaintiff.
	[v]	terminated plaintiff's employment.
	[4	failed to promote plaintiff.
	W	harassed plaintiff.
	N	other (specify) Moved 5 times 4 deleted all jobs I held.

		nstances under which defendant, its agent, on nst plaintiff PERSONALLY:
VERY IMPORT	<u>E</u> N	NCLUDE SPECIFIC DATES, SPECIFIC EVENTS, AND ANY SPECIFIC COMMENTS HADE BY DEFENDANT PERTAINING TO THE DISCRIMINATION CLAIM ALLEGEINBOVE.
May 2001- De allegation tha face accuse		serret meeting with false vas not allowed to attend to
		•
	witnesses who wo	uld testify for plaintiff to support plaintiff of their testimony:
Debra Hill, Glen Mildred Frick Henderson, Dan Johnathan Ca	da Mathis K son, Maxie G lid Henderso Luse, Debra k	othy Saunders, Minerva Chron, NNN, AMBROSE Sturgis, Morris N. Helen Kerr, Sherry Spence, Lingwood
	documentation that documents will prov	would support plaintiff's allegations and explaine:
Decision of	Denial with	list of claims filed

- 9. The above acts or omissions set forth in paragraphs 7 and 8 are:
 - still being committed by defendant.

 no longer being committed by defendant.
- 10. Plaintiff should attach to this complaint a copy of the charge filed with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission. This charge is submitted as a brief statement of the facts supporting this complaint.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff prays that the Court grant the following relief to the plaintiff: Defendant be directed to employ plaintiff. Defendant be directed to re-employ plaintiff. Defendant be directed to promote plaintiff. Defendant be directed to pay Plaintiff BACK was Defendant be directed +2 pay Plainty, other compensatory domagn. Pay Reasonable + NECESSARY attorney's fees Defendant pay pre judgement toost Judgement interest court cost & any other relief to which Plaintys may be entitled Defondant pay Workers Comp Claim and that the Court grant such other relief as may be appropriate, including injunctive orders, damages, costs and attorney's fees. I declare (or certify, verify, or state) under penalty or perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. 1601 Montopolis DR Address of Plaintiff

5/2-386-5633 all 203-2540

Telephone Number(s)

Case 1:05-cv-00360-SS Document 3 Filed 05/25/05 Page 6 of 11



U.S. EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION Office of Federal Operations P.O. Box 19848 Washington, D.C. 20036

Alberta M. Dukes, Complainant,

V.

R. James Nicholson,
Secretary,
Department of Veterans Affairs,
Agency.

Request No. 05A50264

Appeal No. 01A43058 Agency No. 2003-0674-200I118036 Hearing No. 310-A2-5574-X

DENIAL

Alberta M. Dukes (complainant) timely requested reconsideration of the decision in *Alberta M. Dukes v. Department of Veterans Affairs*, EEOC Appeal No. 01A43058 (August 5, 2004). EEOC Regulations provide that the Commission may, in its discretion, grant a request to reconsider any previous Commission decision where the requesting party demonstrates that: (1) the appellate decision involved a clearly erroneous interpretation of material fact or law; or (2) the appellate decision will have a substantial impact on the policies, practices, or operations of the agency. *See* 29 C.F.R. § 1614.405(b).

In the underlying complaint, complainant contended that she was discriminated against on the bases of race (African-American), sex (female), and in reprisal for prior EEO activity in violation of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (Title VII), as amended, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e et seq., when:

- (1) management denied her request for an office;
- (2) management denied overtime pay for her travel time to training;
- (3) management reprimanded her for using a government vehicle;
- supervisors made comments about a "bad smell" coming from the file room during a meeting and ignored her comments on the matter;

2 05A50264

- (5) management removed her from her position in the file room and temporarily reassigned her to a medical clerk position;
- (6) employees allegedly made false statements against her and these statements caused her reassignment;
- (7) management told her that she could not go into the file room unaccompanied;
- (8) management accused her of accessing a manager's medical records in the computer;
- (9) the agency took two days to respond to her request to see an attorney
- (10) management accused her of asking the locksmith to perform unauthorized work and of entering the file room when she was banned from the room;
- (11) a manager harassed her about the events in the file room;
- although other employees received monetary awards for performing similar work, she did not receive a monetary award;
- (13) management denied her request for a copy of her new position description and performance plan;
- (14) management told her to quit monitoring the attendance of the employees she supervised in the file room;
- (15) management forced her to share a vehicle with four other people to travel to her EEO interview;
- management denied her the opportunity to file two workmen's compensation claims;
- (17) management failed to respond to her complaint about the telephone and air conditioning problems in the Release of Information section; and,
- (18) management improperly tried to use her to fire a co-worker and accused her of making a co-worker lie on her behalf.

In her request for reconsideration, complainant contends, among other things, that the agency continues to subject her to harassment. The agency did not file a response.

3

05A50264

After reconsidering the previous decision and the entire record, the Commission finds that the request fails to meet the criteria of 29 C.F.R. § 1614.405(b), and it is the decision of the Commission to deny the request. In reaching this conclusion, the Commission notes that a review of the case file does not support any of complainant's contentions. The decision in EEOC Appeal No. 01A43058 remains the Commission's final decision. There is no further right of administrative appeal on the decision of the Commission on this request.

COMPLAINANT'S RIGHT TO FILE A CIVIL ACTION (P0900)

This decision of the Commission is final, and there is no further right of administrative appeal from the Commission's decision. You have the right to file a civil action in an appropriate United States District Court within ninety (90) calendar days from the date that you receive this decision. If you file a civil action, you must name as the defendant in the complaint the person who is the official agency head or department head, identifying that person by his or her full name and official title. Failure to do so may result in the dismissal of your case in court. "Agency" or "department" means the national organization, and not the local office, facility or department in which you work.

RIGHT TO REQUEST COUNSEL (Z1199)

If you decide to file a civil action, and if you do not have or cannot afford the services of an attorney, you may request that the Court appoint an attorney to represent you and that the Court permit you to file the action without payment of fees, costs, or other security. See Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e et seq.; the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, as amended, 29 U.S.C. §§ 791, 794(c). The grant or denial of the request is within the sole discretion of the Court. Filing a request for an attorney does not extend your time in which to file a civil action. Both the request and the civil action must be filed within the time limits as stated in the paragraph above ("Right to File A Civil Action").

FOR THE COMMISSION:

Conton M. Badden

Carlton M. Hadden, Director Office of Federal Operations

FEB 2 3 2005

Date

4

05A50264

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

For timeliness purposes, the Commission will presume that this decision was received within five (5) calendar days after it was mailed. I certify that this decision was mailed to complainant, complainant's representative (if applicable), and the agency on:

FEB 2 3 2005

Date

Equal Opportunity Assistant

ALBERTA M. DUKES' DAMAGES CLAIMS SUMMARY

Back Wages:

\$60,790.00 (see chart)

Front Wages:

\$69,272.06 (see chart)

Medical/Physical Damages:

\$300,000.00

Emotional Damages:

\$150,000.00

Reputational Damages:

\$200,000.00

Attorney's Fees:

33.33% pre-hearing; 40% post-hearing

<u>Totals</u>: a. pre-hearing: \$780,000 + \$390,000 = \$1,170,000

b. post-hearing: \$780,000 + \$520,000 = \$1,300,000

BACK WAGES				
Period	Unpaid Hours	Unpaid Rate	Unpaid Weeks	Total
May 2001	20 overtime	\$21.62	1	\$432.40
June 2001-	35 overtime per	\$21.62	25	\$9,080.40
November 2001	2 weeks			
November 2001-	40	\$1.66 (wage	27	\$1,792.80
June 2002		differential)		
November 2001-	35 overtime per	\$24.11	27	\$11,391.98
June 2002	2 weeks			
June 2002-	40	\$1.25 (wage	25	\$1,250.00
November 2002		differential)		
June 2002-	35 overtime per	\$24.11	25	\$10,548.13
November 2002	2 weeks			
November 2002-	40	\$2.52 (wage	41	\$4,132.80
August 2003		differential)		
November 2002-	35 overtime per	\$26.01	41	\$18,662.18
August 2003	2 weeks			
Subtotal				\$57,290.69
Interest		@ 6%	119 (271/2	\$3,500.00
			months)	(appr.)
Total				\$60,790.00

FRONT WAGES					
Period	Unpaid Hours	Unpaid Rate	Unpaid Weeks	Total	
August 2003- November 2003	40	\$2.52 (wage differential)	11	\$1,108.80	
August 2003- November 2003	35 overtime per 2 weeks	\$26.01	11	\$5,006.93	
November 2003- November 2004	40	\$3.82 (wage differential)	52	\$7,945.60	
November 2003- November 2004	35 overtime per 2 weeks	\$27.96	52	\$25,443.60	
November 2004- June 2005	40	\$5.15 (wage differential)	31	\$6,386.00	
November 2004- June 2005	35 overtime per 2 weeks	\$29.95	31	\$16,247.88	
June 2005- August 2005	40	\$4.73 (wage differential)	10	\$1,892.00	
June 2005- August 2005	35 overtime per 2 weeks	\$29.95	10	\$5,241.25	
Total				\$69,272.06	

Note: Absent discrimination, Complainant, who was a GS-5/6 in May of 2001, became a GS-5/7 in June of 2002, and will become a GS-5/8 in June of 2005, would have become a GS-6/6 in November of 2001, a GS-7/5 in November of 2002, a GS-8/4 in November of 2003, and a GS-9/3 in November of 2004. Front wages are only demanded if Complainant is not placed at GS-7/5 after the Hearing, at GS-8/4 in November of 2003, and at GS-9/3 in November of 2004.